

## **Editorial Procedure for Contributed ICIS'17 Papers and Posters<sup>†</sup>**

A description of the editorial procedure adapted for the ICIS'17 conference is given.

Two reviewers have been selected for each manuscript (papers and posters) and are accepted for publication based on favorable recommendations by the reviewer(s). Reviewer reports are advisory to the editor(s) and should be written in an understandable and collegial manner.

Any resubmitted manuscript should be accompanied by a summary of changes made, and a brief response to all recommendations and criticisms. This material will be forwarded to the reviewer(s) and should be written also in an understandable and collegial manner.

If a manuscript has several authors, one of them, the corresponding author, should be designated to receive and respond to correspondence from the reviewer(s) and editor(s). It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to represent all those involved with the work reported. By submitting the manuscript, the corresponding author certifies:

- The paper represents original work of the listed authors.
- The submitted manuscript accurately reflects the scientific results.
- All authors of the manuscript made significant contributions to the concept, design, execution, or interpretation of the research study.
- All those who made significant contributions were offered the opportunity to be listed as authors.
- All of the listed authors are aware of and agree to the submission of this manuscript.
- The manuscript has not been published, and is not now and will not be under consideration by another journal while it is considered for ICIS'17
- The authors accept the established procedures for selecting manuscripts for publication.

---

<sup>†</sup> Adopted from Editorial Policies and Practices of Physical Review Accelerators and Beams